(8)

(4)

Chadr

THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETTITION NO :2146/2003

PETTITIONER : V.K. Narayanan son of late shri V. N. Keshvan aged about -62 years, occupation –Retired District Malaria Officer resident of MIG-70, Nehru nagar, Bilaspur.(C.G.)

Vs

RESPONDENTS:

R1. State of Chhattisgarh through: secretary, government of Chhattisgarh,public health and family welfare Raipur (C.G.)

R2. Director public health and family welfare department health services Raipur (C.G.)

R3. Accountant General of Chhattisgarh yellow building fafadih Raipur. (C.G.)

R4. Chief Medical and Health Officer,Korba,District Korba. (C.G.)

R5. Joint Director Fund account and pension Bilaspur.

P. R. No. 1645/03
Presented by Shri Dilip Singh
dated 05.03.03

शपथ आयुक्त
एस.के शुक्ला
बिलासपुर (म.प्र.)
1041/03
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

WRIT PETTITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

133

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 2146 of 2003

Petitioner : V.K. Narayanan

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Bhupendra Singh, counsel for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents No. 1, 2, 4 and 5.

Shri A.S. Gaharwar, counsel for the respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(27th July, 2006)

1. The petitioner was appointed as Assistant Malaria Officer in the office of the Health Department, Bilaspur in the year 1965 and thereafter, he was promoted to the post of the District Malaria Officer, Korba in the year 1983.

2. The petitioner made an application on 7.7.2000 (Annexure P/2) to the Chief Medical Officer, Korba expressing his intention to take voluntarily retirement w.e.f. 31.10.2000. The petitioner was accordingly retired voluntarily w.e.f. 31.10.2000.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his submission to the effect that the petitioner was not paid interest on the delayed payment of pension as well as gratuity and G.P.F. amount as per pass-book maintained by the authorities.

(134)

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits in categorical terms that the interest due on the delayed payment has already been made. However, they are agreeable to consider the case of the petitioner afresh and grant interest and other amounts like gratuity and G.P.F., if due, in accordance with law.

5. For the reasons stated-above, the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner on the basis of the representation, if any, made by him within a period of two weeks and dispose of the same within a period of six weeks thereafter, on its own merit, in accordance with law.

6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu